## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX	AMINING AUTHORIT	Y		05543.
To: MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY, SOKILOFF, TAYLOI 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD	R & ZAFMAN LLP	MARIN SA	PCT	05543. POO6 PCT (enus
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		7 25 2002 OFF, TAYLOR & ZAFI	PCT Rule 66)	
	L	Date of Mailing	22 OCT 2002	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 5543.P006PCT		1	thin <b>TWO</b> months om the above date of maili	ng
International application No.	International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month)	'year)
PCT/US01/13620	27 APRIL 2001		28 APRIL 2000	
International Patent Classification (IPC IPC(7): H04L 29/12, 06 and US Cl.:  Applicant	) or both national classifi 70710; 709/217, 218	cation and IPC		
CENUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.				
IV	f opinion with regard to a nation ander Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with tions supporting such stated a international application on the international application on the international applicated above. The application extension, see Rule 66 at extension, see Rule 66 at the reply, accompanied, the language of the amend apportunity to submit amending and preliminary examinational preliminary	novelty, inventive stement regard to novelty, interest tement reaction reaction reaction reportate, by ments, see Rules 66.6 andments, see Rule 66.6 andments and/or arguiner, see Rule 66.6 on report will be estable.	8.4. guments, see Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> ablished on the basis of th	l applicability;  it, request this  to Rule 66.3.
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US  Authorized officer				
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT		FRANTZ COR	James R. M	att to
Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (7	08) 305-4006		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)★

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/13620

I. Basis	of the opinion	
1. With res	ard to the elements of the internation	ttal application:*
	international application as of	• • •
	e description:	-5
141	1.05	, as originally filed
		, as originally fried , as originally fried , filed with the demand
	ges NONE	, filed with the letter of
لتتا	e claims:	
_	ges 26-27 ves NONE	, as originally filed
_	ges <u>NONE</u> ges <u>NONE</u>	, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		, filed with the letter of, filed with the demand
P	5**	, med with the letter of
X the	drawings:	
pa	ges 1-5	, as originally filed
	ges NONE	, filed with the demand
pa	ges NONE	, filed with the letter of
₩ th		
	e sequence listing part of the de	
		, as originally filed , filed with the demand
pa	ges NONE	, filed with the letter of
the the	language of a translation furn	this Authority in the following language which is: shed for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). and for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/
3. With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or am on the basis of the sequence listing	ino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was
	stained in the international app	ination in minted form
	••	•
<u></u>		al application in computer readable form.
fur	nished subsequently to this Au	thority in written form.
fur	nished subsequently to this Au	thority in computer readable form.
The inte	e statement that the subsequentle ernational application as filed ha	y furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the is been furnished.
The bee	e statement that the information r in furnished.	ecorded in computer readable form is identical to the writen sequence listing has
4. X Th	e amendments have resulted ir	the cancellation of:
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	the description, pages	NONE
X		NONE
L.	1 110 014111111111111111111111111111111	NONE
5 — —		
5. Th	is opinion has been drawn as if (s youd the disclosure as filed, as in	ome of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go dicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
* Replacen in this op	nent sheets which have been furnish inion as "originally filed".	ed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US01/13620

Claims 1-7, 8-11 NONE Y  Claims NONE Y  Claims 1-14 NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 NONE  Claims 1-7 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article \$3(2)\$ as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for the information object (Abstract, page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Not discloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article \$3(3)\$ as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18, page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of oniamy skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivation being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	1. statement  Novelty (N)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Inventive Step (IS)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims					
Novelty (N)  Claims  1-7, 8-11  N  Inventive Step (IS)  Claims  Claims  NONE  Claims  NONE  Claims  NONE  Claims  1-14  NONE  NONE  Claims  1-14  NONE  NONE  Claims  1-14  NONE  NONE  Claims  1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 53(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for transferration object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nailscloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11.  It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Novelty (N)   Claims   12-14   1-7, 8-11				e step or industrial appli	cability;
Claims 1-7, 8-11 NONE Y  Claims 1-14 NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims Claims 1-14 NONE  Claims 1-14 NONE  1-14 NONE  2. citations and explanations  Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 35(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for tinformation object (Abstract, page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nail claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository; configured to select the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 14-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivation being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Inventive Step (IS)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Cla	statement				
Claims 1-7, 8-11 NONE Y  Claims 1-14 NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims Claims 1-14 NONE  Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 35(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for tinformation object (Abstract, page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nail claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository; configured to select the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 14-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivation being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Inventive Step (IS)  Claims  Claims  NONE  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Industrial Applicability (IA)  In	Novelty (N)	Claims	12-14		Ył
Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for transformation object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nail scloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 67275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of average delay from the selected information object repository; However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims			1-7, 8-11		NC
Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-14 NONE N  Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for transformation object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nail scloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 67275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of average delay from the selected information object repository; However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims					
Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims	Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims	Inventive Step (IS)				YI
Claims NONE  2. citations and explanations  Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article \$3(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for the information object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nail closes advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article \$3(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of average delay from the selected information object repository; However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivatio being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Claims NONE  2. citations and explanations Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article \$3(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address f information object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kava discloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article \$3(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more average delay from the selected information object repository; However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tof the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motive being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.  NEW CITATIONS  ———————————————————————————————————		Claims	1~14		NO
Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article \$3(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for tinformation object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Notiscloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article \$3(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of average delay from the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivatio being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Claims NONE  2. citations and explanations Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article \$3(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address f information object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kava discloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article \$3(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more average delay from the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motive being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1~14		YI
Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object at address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for the information object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kavak Nailscloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view of Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivatio being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	Claims 1-7, 8, 9-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Kavak Nail et al. WO 98 57275 A.  As per claims 1-7 and 9-11, Kavak Nail discloses the invention including "receiving a request for an information object address identified by a uniform resources locator (URL); and mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address f information object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 9, line 9).  As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7. In addition, Kava discloses advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast address (page 5, lines 10-14).  Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Kavak Nail WO 98 57275 in view Balter James et al. WO 99 40514 A.  As per claims 12-14, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-11. It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more average delay from the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tof the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motiv being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.	industrial reppiredonney (111)		NONE		NO NO
It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of average delay from the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line 1-page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motivation being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS	It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to show a web router, configure to select the information object repository, configured to select the selected information object repository; wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more average delay from the selected information object repository. However, Balter James et al. achieved the claimed limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 4, line 8-page 5, line 6; page 7, line 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, line page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tof the invention to have modified the system of Kavak Nail by incorporating the teachings of Balter James. The motiv being to have enhanced the versatility of Kavak Nail's system by utilizing information about the load and the network topology of the servers more efficiently.  NEW CITATIONS  NEW CITATIONS	address identified by a uniform resources localinformation object (Abstract; page 4, line 27-page 4). As per claim 8, most of the limitations of this discloses advertise anycast address using a net Claims 12-14 lack an inventive step under PC	ator (URL); ar page 9, line 9). s claim have b twork layer ar	een noted in the rejection accept address (page 5, line	corresponding anycast address of claims 1-7. In addition, Fes 10-14).	ess for the Kavak Nai
		It is noted, however, Kavak Nail et al. fail to configured to select the selected information of average delay from the selected information of limitations (See Balter James et al. Abstract, page 15, line 4; page 18, line 4-page 19, line 1 of the invention to have modified the system of the the three enhanced the versatility of Kava	show a web ro object reposito bject reposito oage 4, line 8-1 2. It would h of Kavak Nail	outer, configure to select the ry; wherein the performancy. However, Balter James bage 5, line 6; page 7, line ave been obvious to one of by incorporating the teach	ne information object repositive metrics comprise one or not et al. achieved the claimed 24-page 8, line 18; page 14, Fordinary skill in the art at ings of Balter James. The note that the second sec	ory, nore of line 1~ the time notivation
NOTE:						

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/13620

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)	
Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII	Sheet 10
TIME LIMIT:	
The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considere Examination Report.	37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received d in preparing the International Preliminary